

No proof, No investigation, No Accountability, No law

Bush Jr, Sept. 11 & Rule of Law

See Also:

- Faculty Lecture on Bio/Warfare/Terrorism/Weapons, by Francis A. Boyle, 4/18/02
- The Rogue Elephant, The Bush Jr administration has become a 'threat to the peace' within the meaning of UN Charter Article 39, by Francis Boyle, 7/02
- Gulf War: Gonzalez Impeachment Resolution of Bush, re-sent 5/02
- Sanctions and War on Iraq: In 300 words, by Citizens Concerned for the People of Iraq, 8/11/02
- Operation Northwoods: Justification for U.S. Military Intervention in Cuba, 3/13/62, excerpts from the original 15-page TOP SECRET US government document

From: Boyle, Francis [mailto:FBOYLE@LAW.UIUC.EDU]

Sent: Friday, May 17, 2002 11:20 AM

To: 'AALS Section on Minority Grps. mailing list' (E-mail)

Subject: Bush Jr, Sept. 11 & Rule of Law

From *The Criminality of Nuclear Deterrence* (Clarity Press:2002), pages 18-19, \$15 at Amazon.com. fab

The Facts

There is not and may never be conclusive proof as to who was behind the terrible bombings in New York and Washington, D.C., on September 11, 2001. No point would be served here by making a detailed review of the facts that have so far emerged into the public record. Suffice it to say that the accounts provided by the United States government simply do not add up.

The October 3 edition of the *New York Times* recounted the definitive briefing by a US ambassador to NATO officials on the alleged facts as follows:

One Western official at NATO said the briefings, which were oral, without slides or documents, did not report any direct order from Mr. Bin Laden, nor did they indicate that the Taliban knew about the attacks before they happened.

A senior diplomat for one closely allied nation characterized the briefing as containing "nothing particularly new or surprising," adding: "It was rather descriptive and narrative rather than forensic. There was no attempt to build a legal case."

In other words, there was no real case against Al Qaeda, Bin Laden, and the Taliban government of Afghanistan. Such was the conclusion of senior diplomats from friendly nations who attended the so-called briefing.

The Powell/Blair White Paper

Secretary of State Colin Powell publicly promised that they were going to produce a "White Paper" documenting their case against Osama bin Laden and the Al Qaeda organization concerning September 11. As those of us in the peace movement know all too well from previous international transgressions, these U.S. government "White Papers" are all too frequently laden with lies, propaganda, half-truths, dissimulation, disinformation, etc. that are usually very easily refuted after a little bit of research and analysis.

What happened here? We never received a "White Paper" produced by the Untied States government as publicly promised by Secretary Powell, who was later overridden by President Bush Jr. What we got instead was a so-called White Paper produced by British Prime Minister Tony Blair. Obviously, Blair was acting as Bush Jr's surrogate or, as the British press routinely referred to him, "Bush's pet-poodle" -- neither an elected or administrative official of the U.S. government, not even an American citizen. Conveniently, no American could be brought to task for or even questioned about whatever errors of inadequacies Blair might purvey.

The Powell/Blair White Paper fell into that hallowed tradition of a "White Paper" based upon insinuation, allegation, rumors, propaganda, lies, half-truths, etc. Even unnamed British government officials on an off-the-record basis admitted that the case against Bin Laden and Al Qaeda would not stand up in court. And as a matter of fact the Blair/Powell White Paper was widely derided in the British news media. There was nothing there.

[Note that the preamble to this white paper -- "Responsibility for the terrorist atrocities in the United States," 10/4/01 -- explicitly confirms Professor Boyle's assertion:

"This document does not purport to provide a prosecutable case against Usama Bin Laden in a court of law. Intelligence often cannot be used evidentially, due both to the strict rules of admissibility and to the need to protect the safety of sources. But on the basis of all the information available HMG is confident of its conclusions as expressed in this document."

<http://www.pm.gov.uk/output/page3554.asp> --ratitor]

The Cover-Ups

Despite the clear import of the matter, the U.S. Congress has decided not to empanel a Joint Committee of the House and of the Senate with subpoena power giving them access to whatever hard evidence they want throughout any agency of the United States government -- including the National Security Council, FBI, CIA, NSA, DIA -- and also to put their Officials under oath to testify as to what happened and why under penalty of perjury. Obviously a cover-up is underway for the express purpose of not determining (1) who was ultimately responsible for the terrible attacks of 11 September 2001; and (2) why these extravagantly funded U.S. "intelligence" agencies were either unable or unwilling to prevent these attacks despite numerous warnings of a serious anti-American attack throughout the Summer of 2001 -- and yet, amazingly, could assert the identity of those responsible with such certainty in the space of hours thereafter as to preclude any serious investigation of other possible perpetrators. And for reasons not necessary to get into here, there is also an ongoing governmental cover-up of the obvious involvement of the Pentagon/CIA, or one of their contractors, in the anthrax attack upon the American People and all three Branches of the U.S. Federal Government.

Francis A. Boyle
Law Building, 504 E. Pennsylvania Ave.
Champaign, IL 61820 USA
217-333-7954(voice)
217-244-1478(fax)
fboyle@law.uiuc.edu

<http://www.ratical.org/ratville/CAH/fab051702.html>