M.P.O.B. 11207, San Francisco, CA 94101

CNR Report 1977-3, June 6, 1977 May reprint without permission

# Jimmy Carter's Energy Plan: Myths vs. Realities

by John W. Gofman and Egan O'Connor

## A LABOR TANDE AND THE SOLO PART I: Solar Energy of the American was 11 may 1

Solar energy as it is treatert in "The National Energy Plan" issued by the White House. April 29, 1977

### Myth:

The Carter Plan will give us solar energy much faster than the Nixon-Ford plans, and Carter is "aggressively" promoting solar energy.

(The term "aggressively" is taken from the National Energy Plan, p. xxii) confession from solar energy in 1965 to an entisence by the well-respected suchagant,

# Dr. Harry Commonar (author of The Pove:Stacts 1926). His current was laste in

that the U.S. could get up to 101 to the ending the the rear 10 water from solar water, The Carter Plan relegates almost every application of solar energy to "beyond 1985" (p.100) and "in the next century" (p.32).

Carter is explicitly aware (p.101) that at least ONE-THIRD of our entire energydemand can be met by low-grade heat---temperatures below the boiling point of water--and that solar heat is a suitable source of such energy.

Nevertheless, the use of solar energy to supply some of the low-temperature heat needed in industry and agriculture is relegated to "The Future beyond 1985" section of the Plan, except for a few government-sponsored demonstrations!

Windpower is mentioned (EVERYTHING gets mentioned, for credit) as a medium-term technology, i.e., after 1985, as are ocean-thermal and photovoltaic power.

### What, if any, solar energy applications will be introduced during the next 8 years?

- a.) The Plan states that its "fuel conversion program" (tax credits to encourage a shift by utilities and industries away from oil and gas) would encourage the use of "biomass" like waste wood, garbage, and alcohol, presumably as soon as the taxincentives are enacted.
- b.) The Plan states a goal of solarizing 2½ million homes by 1985 through taxincentives. This is truly a drop in the bucket, since there are 74 million "residential units" today in the U.S., and  $2.5 \div 74 = 3.4\%$ . After issuing the Plan, the Carter Administration reduced even that goal from 2.5 to 1.3 million, or 1.8% of the total residential units! Meanwhile, the Solar Energy Industries Assn. claims that 11 (eleven) million homes could be solarized by 1985.

#### What is the planned contribution from solar energy in 1985?

The grand contribution from solar energy by 1985 under Carter's solar energy plan will be a maximum of 0.4 Quad\*, or 0.44% of the projected energy-need of 91.65 Quads. Although solar is so neglected in the Plan that it does not even appear separately as an energy source by 1985, it was possible to derive an upper limit for this figure from the increment listed (p.96) for "other" sources between 1976 and 1985. So, 0.44%. of the "RCO" newspaper, put ust to May 1977 by Friends of the Earth, 124 Spear AC

<sup>\*</sup> One Quad =  $10^{15}$  BTU's, or the energy equivalent of 180 million barrels of crude oil.

Committee for Muclear Responsibility, inc.

(p.100) and "In the next century" (p.32).

demand can be set by lov

It is remarkable to compare this figure with the figure published by the Federal Energy Administration (FEA) in Nov. 1974; it is reproduced below. The FEA shows that by 1985 with an "accelerated implementation plan", we could have 1.44 Quads from solar energy, or 3 times more than Carter's plan for 1985.

The FEA said that even with a "business as usual" implementation program, by 1985 we should have 0.84 Quads from solar energy—-approximately double Carter's Plan.

Even if one assumes that  $\underline{no}$  progress at all was made on solar energy in the intervening  $2\frac{1}{2}$  years (an absurd assumption), it would seem that Carter's commitment to solar energy is less than "business as usual".

One might note that the FEA, which was <u>not</u> gung-ho for solar energy under previous Administrations, said that the U.S. could have nearly 40 Quads from solar energy by the year-2000. That would be more than half of the <u>entire</u> U.S. 1976 energy-consumption (75 Quads).

Another interesting contrast to Carter's pitiful 0.4% (four-tenths of one percent) contribution from solar energy in 1985 is an estimate by the well-respected ecologist, Dr. Barry Commoner (author of The Poverty of Power, 1976). His current estimate is that the U.S. could get up to  $\overline{20\%}$  of its energy in the next 10 years from solar energy. (Source: his presentation May 10, 1977 at the National League of Cities, p.23).

Carter is explicitly quara (p.161) that at least ONE-THIRD of our entite energy-

incling point of warmer

Source: Federal Energy Administration, Project Independence Blueprint,
Final Task Force Report on Solar Energy, Nov. 1974, page I-7.

The Report was prepared under the direction of the National Science Foundation.

SUMMARY OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF SOLAR ENERGY TECHNOLOGIES

(Units of 1015 Btu/Yr of Output Energy Provided by Solar Energy Systems)

(08128 02 10 254) 11 02 0829	1980	1985	1990	1995	2000	What, If
A DENTALOGRAPH Beating and Cooling ##3) "ELETROTTO	0.3 (0.01)	0.6 (0.3)	1.5	2.4 (1.3)	3.5 (2.3)	he Plac
-rai and Solar Thermal an vidnamasarg . i	(.0)	0.002 (0.002)	0.02 (0.02)	0.2 (0.1)	1.3 (0.6)	Al Tenn
Wind Conversion	0.01 (0.008)	0.5 (0.4)	2.0 (1.6)	3.4 (2.7)	5 (4.0)	in nevis
Bioconversion Vd 29 TO HOLLI	0.06	0.3 (0.1)	0.9 (0.2)	(0.4)	15 (0.7)	
milit odd gminocen therest A	(0)	0.03 (0.03)	0.2 (0.1)	1.0 (0.4)	7 (1.7)	laluesh
Photovoltaic Conversion	Weg. (Neg.)	0.01 (0.003)	0.3 (0.07)	2.4 (0.3)	7 (1.5)	on inter
Total U.S. Demand*	93	120	144	165	180	

<sup>.</sup> Assumptions include (1) the successful completion of the recommended R&D program plan for solar energy technologies; and, (2) conventional fuel prices equivalent to \$11 per barrel of oil.

For useful, up-to-date information about solar energy potential, see the five issues of the "ECO" newspaper, put out in May 1977 by Friends of the Earth, 124 Spear St., San Francisco, CA 94105).

\* One Quad - 1012 BTU's, or the energy equivalent of 180 million barrels of crude oil.

<sup>.</sup> Numbers shown without parentheses are for the Accelerated implementation plan; those shown in parentheses are for the Business-as-Usual implementation plan.

Estimates based on pre-embargo analyses. See, "The Nation's Energy Future", ARC, 1 December 1973.